

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,518	02/24/2004	John H. Greinwald JR.	CHMC17.001CP1	2735
20995 7	7590 04/05/2006		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE M. 2040 MAIN S	ARTENS OLSON &	WONG, JENNIFER SHIN SHIN		
FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614		1634	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/786,518	GREINWALD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Wong	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to methods to diagnose hearing loss, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 17-23, drawn to a microarray and kits with said microarray, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention II can be used in a materially different process such as for synthesizing
- 3. Additionally, Group I named above is subject to further restriction. Each group detailed above reads on patentably distinct loci, exons, and primer pairs. Each distinct loci, exons, and primer pairs is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each group. Applicant must elect a combination a few as five loci, and their respective exons and primer pairs, or a

nucleic acids or proteins as well as for diagnostic therapies.

Art Unit: 1634

SPECIFIC combination of all loci and their respective exons and primer pairs. Applicant is further required to distinctly point out the location in the drawings, figures, or SEQ IDs of the instant application to which the elected sequence is drawn. Please include in the election of sequence or specific combination of sequence the SEQ ID(s), the genebank numbers(s) (or any other identifier), the table or figure number, and the row or column location in the table. This is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different loci are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the

Page 3

in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that

searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a

contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent

and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37

CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed

serious burden for the office.

4. Additionally, Group II named above is subject to further restriction. Each group detailed above reads on patentably distinct loci and its respective exons. Each distinct loci and its respective exons patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences,

Art Unit: 1634

and a further restriction is applied to each group. Applicant must elect a combination a few as five loci, and their respective exons, or a SPECIFIC combination of all loci and their respective exons. Applicant is further required to distinctly point out the location in the drawings, figures, or SEQ IDs of the instant application to which the elected sequence is drawn. Please include in the election of sequence or specific combination of sequence the SEQ ID(s), the genebank numbers(s) (or any other identifier), the table or figure number, and the row or column location in the table. This is NOT an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different loci are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

Page 4

5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a different status in the art as demonstrated by their different classification and recognized

Art Unit: 1634

divergent subject matter. Further, inventions I and II require different searches that are not coextensive. For instance, the diagnostic method of invention I is not co-extensive with a patent and keyword search of the microarray of invention II. A finding that invention I is anticipated or obvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that the microarray of invention II is also anticipated over the prior art. Similarly, a finding that invention I is novel and unobvious over the prior art would not extend to a finding that the microarray of invention II is also novel and unobvious over the prior art. Accordingly, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Art Unit: 1634

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/786,518 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 8 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Wong

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.U.